UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Felipe Jesus Martinez-Celeya		Case Number:	10-09194M-001
present and w	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 as represented by counsel. I conclude be defendant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing y a preponderance of the e	was held on December 29, 2010. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a prep	conderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
\boxtimes	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.		
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as not	ed in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court
		ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defen-		
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
		ONS REGARDING DETEN	
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable efendant shall be afforded a reasonable	, from persons awaiting or s opportunity for private cons e Government, the person	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the inection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS	AND THIRD PARTY REL	EASE
IT IS of deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be filed wi to Pretrial Services at lease	th the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to t one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be consi to the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial vertical of Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: <u>Dec</u>	ember 29, 2010		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge